

IMM-NYU 338
MARCH 1965



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
COURANT INSTITUTE OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

ON SOME TESTS OF HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCES

MADAN L. PURI

PREPARED UNDER
CONTRACT NONR-285(38)
WITH THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
AND SLOAN FOUNDATION GRANT
FOR STATISTICS

IMM-NYU 338
March 1965

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

ON SOME TESTS OF HOMOGENEITY OF VARIANCES¹

Madan L. Puri

¹This work represents results obtained at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, under Sloan Foundation Grant for statistics and under U. S. Navy Contract Nonr-285(38). NR No. 042-206/11/18/64. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

C. 1

Summary

In their paper [1], Ansari and Bradley discussed a two-sample rank test for dispersions and suggested the desirability of extending their results to the problem of several samples. In this paper, besides generalizing their results, we provide a few additional non-parametric tests, which include, among others, the multi-sample analogues of the two-sample normal scores test of dispersion and the tests considered by Mood [6], Klotz [4], and Siegel and Tukey [10]. The asymptotic distributions of the proposed test statistics are derived by an application of the author's theorem [7]. The asymptotic efficiencies of these tests relative to one another and the \mathcal{F} test ([9] pp. 83-87) are computed in the standard fashion along the lines of the author's paper [7].

1. Introduction

Let X_{ij} ($j=1, \dots, m_i$; $i=1, \dots, c$) be independent samples from populations with continuous cumulative distribution function $F_i(x) = F(\theta_i(x-\nu))$, where θ_i and ν are real numbers. θ_i is the scale parameter for F_i and ν , the common median for all F_i , which without loss of generality can be taken to be zero. We are interested in testing the hypothesis $H_0 : \theta_1 = \dots = \theta_c$ against $H_1 : \theta_i \neq \theta_j$ for some pair (i, j) .

Let $Z_{N,i}^{(j)} = 1$, if the i th smallest observation from the combined sample of size $N = \sum m_i$ is from the j th sample and otherwise let $Z_{N,i}^{(j)} = 0$. Then we propose to consider the following test statistics.

$$A. \quad \mathcal{L}(B) = 48 \sum_{j=1}^c m_j (B_{N,j} - \beta_{N,j})^2$$

where

$$(1.1) \quad m_j B_{N,j} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} - \left| \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} - \frac{i}{N} \right| \right] Z_{N,i}^{(j)}$$

$$B. \quad \mathcal{L}(M) = 180 \sum_{j=1}^c m_j (M_{N,j} - \ell_{N,j})^2$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad m_j M_{N,j} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{i}{N} - \frac{N+1}{2N} \right)^2 Z_{N,i}^{(j)}$$

$$C. \quad \mathcal{L}(\Psi) = \sum_{j=1}^c m_j \left[(\Psi_{N,j} - c_{N,j}) / A_N \right]^2$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad m_j \Psi_{N,j} = \sum_{i=1}^N E_\Psi \left[V^{(i)} \right]^2 Z_{N,i}^{(j)}$$

and where $V^{(1)} < \dots < V^{(N)}$ is an ordered sample of size N from a distribution Ψ and E denotes the expectation. $(\beta_{N,j}, \alpha_{N,j}, c_{N,j}$ and A_N are normalizing constants to be defined below).

We may note that with $c = 2$, the statistics $\mathcal{L}(B)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Phi)$ where Φ is the standard normal distribution function, reduce respectively to the two-sided Ansari-Bradley-Freund W statistic [1], Mood's M statistic [6] and the normal scores statistic [3], for testing the equality of dispersions of the two populations.

Reference to prior work on the two-sample non-parametric tests for dispersions may also be found in Lehmann [5], Sukhatme ([11], [12]), Barton and David [2], Siegel and Tukey [10], and Klotz [4], among others. Ansari and Bradley [1] have shown that their W test is equivalent to one independently proposed by Barton and David [2]. Klotz [4] has shown that the W test is equivalent to one proposed by Siegel and Tukey [10]. Thus the $\mathcal{L}(B)$ test, defined above, may also be regarded

as a generalization of the two-sided Ansari-Bradley-Barton-David-Siegel-Tukey test of dispersion. Lehmann's test is not a distribution-free test and so the relative efficiencies of this test are not known. Sukhatme's second test [12] is an improvement over the Ansari-Bradley W test and its generalization to several samples merit investigation; but since this does not appear to be possible with the methods of the present paper, we do not intend to discuss this test here. We shall confine our attention to the statistics proposed in A, B, and C above. (The author is not aware of any multi-sample rank test for dispersions in the literature).

In the passing, we may remark that the statistics proposed above may be put in the general framework of the statistics \mathcal{L} defined as

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^c m_j \left[(T_{N,j} - \mu_{N,j}) / A_N \right]^2$$

where $\mu_{N,j}$ and A_N are normalizing constants, and

$$(1.5) \quad m_j T_{N,j} = \sum_{i=1}^N E_{N,i} Z_{N,i}^{(j)} .$$

With $E_{N,i} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} - |\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} - \frac{i}{N}|$, the statistic \mathcal{L}

reduces to the $\mathcal{L}(B)$ statistic. With $E_{N,i} = \left(\frac{i}{N} - \frac{N+1}{2N} \right)^2$ we obtain the $\mathcal{L}(M)$ statistic and with $E_{N,i} = E_{N,i} = E_{\Psi}[V^{(i)}]^2$ we obtain the $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ statistic. The tests based on the statistics $\mathcal{L}(B)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ will be referred as the \mathcal{L} tests.

The statistics \mathcal{L} , defined by (1.4) with weights $E_{N,i}$ different than the ones proposed above, were suggested by the author [7] for testing the equality of location parameters of the c probability distributions. Here we shall find the limiting distributions of the statistics \mathcal{L} (defined by A, B, and C) when the distributions $F_i(x)$ differ only by scale parameters. The formulation of the problem as given in this paper is the same as given in the earlier paper. However, the earlier paper treats the problem somewhat more generally, and the reader is referred to it for additional background and motivation.

2. The limiting distributions of \mathcal{L} under local alternatives.

To obtain the large sample distribution of the statistics \mathcal{L} , we begin by determining the asymptotic distributions of the statistics $T_{N,j}$ defined by (1.5). This is given by the following theorem, where the sample sizes m_i are assumed to tend to infinity in such a way that $m_i = s_i \cdot n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$; $i=1, \dots, c$.

Theorem 2.1. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let X_{ij} ($j=1, \dots, m_i(n)$;
 $i=1, \dots, c$) be independently distributed according to
 $F(\theta_i^{(n)} x)$. Suppose that the sequence of parameter points

$\theta^{(n)} = (\theta_1^{(n)}, \dots, \theta_c^{(n)})$ satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \theta_i^{(n)} = 1 + v_i/\sqrt{n} \quad .$$

Let the assumptions of theorem 6.2 of [7] be satisfied.

Then the variables (w_1, \dots, w_c) given by

$$(2.2) \quad w_j = m_j^{1/2} (T_{N,j} - \mu_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}))$$

have a joint asymptotic normal distribution with zero means

and covariance matrix whose (j, j') th term is

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\delta_{jj'} - \frac{\sqrt{s_j s_{j'}}}{\sum s_i} \right) A^2$$

where the $\delta_{jj'}$ are the kronecker deltas, and, where

$$(2.4) \quad A^2 = \int_0^1 (\Psi^{-1}(x))^4 dx - \left(\int_0^1 (\Psi^{-1}(x))^2 dx \right)^2 \text{ for the } \mathcal{L}(\Psi) \text{ test.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \text{ for the } \mathcal{L}(B) \text{ test.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{180} \text{ for the } \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ test.}$$

Note that, under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1,

we have (cf. [7])

$$(2.5) \quad \mu_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\Psi^{-1}(H(x)) \right]^2 dF_j(x) \text{ for the } \mathcal{L}(\Psi) \text{ test.}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^0 H(x) dF_j(x) + \int_0^{\infty} (1-H(x)) dF_j(x)$$

for the $\mathcal{L}(B)$ test.

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(H(x) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 dF_j(x) \text{ for the } \mathcal{L}(M) \text{ test.}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad F_j(x) = F\left(x(1 + v_j/\sqrt{n})\right)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^c s_i F_i(x) / \sum_{\alpha=1}^c s_{\alpha} .$$

The proof of the theorem follows as did theorem 7.1 in [7] from theorem 6.2 of that paper. Now making the analysis of variance transformation

$$s_0 = \sum_{i'=1}^c e_i^{1/2} w_{i'} / A \quad \text{where} \quad e_i = s_i / \sum_{i=1}^c s_i$$

$$s_i = \sum_{i'=1}^c a_{ii'} w_{i'}/A \quad ; \quad i=1, \dots, c-1$$

where the a 's are chosen to make the transformation orthogonal and proceeding as in [7], we arrive at the following:

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

(a) If

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (c_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - c_{N,j}(1)) / A$$

exists and is finite, then, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, the statistic $\mathcal{L}(\Psi)$ has a limiting non-central chi-square distribution with $c-1$ degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter

$$(2.9) \quad \lambda_\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^c \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (c_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - c_{N,j}(1)) \right]^2 / A^2 .$$

(b) If

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (\beta_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - \beta_{N,j}(1))$$

exists and is finite, then, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, the statistic $\mathcal{L}(B)$ has a limiting non-centrality chi-square distribution with

c-1 degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_B = 48 \sum_{j=1}^c \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (\beta_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - \beta_{N,j}(1)) \right]^2 .$$

(c) If

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (\alpha_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - \alpha_{N,j}(1))$$

exists and is finite, then, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, the statistic $\mathcal{L}(M)$ has a limiting non-central chi-square distribution with c-1 degrees of freedom and non-centrality parameter

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda_M = 180 \sum_{j=1}^c \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{m_j} (\alpha_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - \alpha_{N,j}(1)) \right]^2 .$$

Corollary. If, in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 2.2, the regularity conditions of lemma 7.2 of [9] are satisfied, then,

$$(2.14) \quad \lambda_\Psi = \sum_{j=1}^c s_j(v_j - \bar{v})^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \Psi^{-1}[F(x)] \right\}^2 dF(x) \right)^2 / A^2$$

$$(2.15) \quad \lambda_B = 48 \sum_{j=1}^c s_j(v_j - \bar{v})^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 x f^2(x) dx - \int_0^\infty x f^2(x) dx \right)^2$$

$$(2.16) \quad \lambda_M = 180 \sum_{j=1}^c s_j (\nu_j - \bar{\nu})^2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f(x) [2F(x) - 1] dF(x) \right)^2$$

where f is the density of F and

$$(2.17) \quad \bar{\nu} = \frac{\sum s_i \nu_i}{\sum s_i} .$$

Note: To get λ_B , we need a trivial modification of the part (i) of lemma 7.2 of [9].

Proof. To get (2.14) from (2.9), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sqrt{n} (c_{N,j}(\theta^{(n)}) - c_{N,j}(1)) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left(\int J \left[\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i F\left(x(1+\nu_i/\sqrt{n})\right) \right] dF\left(x(1+\nu_j/\sqrt{n})\right) - \int J [F(x)] dF(x) \right) \\ &= \int A_n(x) B_n(x) dF(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n(x) = \frac{\int \left[\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i F\left(x(1+\nu_i/\sqrt{n})(1+\nu_j/\sqrt{n})^{-1}\right) \right] dF(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i F\left(x(1+\nu_i/\sqrt{n})(1+\nu_j/\sqrt{n})^{-1}\right) - F(x)}$$

$$B_n(x) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^c \lambda_i \left[F\left(x(1+\nu_i/\sqrt{n})(1+\nu_j/\sqrt{n})^{-1}\right) - F(x) \right] .$$

Under the assumed regularity conditions

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(x) = \frac{d}{du} J(u) \Big|_{u=F(x)}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(x) = (\bar{v} - v_j) x f(x)$$

where \bar{v} is given by (2.17). Since differentiation under the integral is permitted, the proof follows.

The proofs of (2.15) and (2.16) are exactly analogous.

In particular when $\Psi = \Phi$, where Φ is the standard normal distribution function,

$$(2.18) \quad \lambda_{\Phi} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^c s_j (v_j - \bar{v})^2 \left(\int \frac{x \Phi^{-1}[F(x)] f(x) dF(x)}{\phi[\Phi^{-1}[F(x)]]} \right)^2$$

where ϕ is the density of Φ .

3. Parametric Case

In the parametric theory, a commonly used test is Bartlett's H test for the homogeneity of variances. This test is shown by Box to be very sensitive to departures from normality assumptions. We give below a very brief description of an approximate test based on the analysis of variance of the logarithms of the sample variances. For details, the reader is referred to Scheffé ([9], pp. 83-87), from where the following

portion is taken with slight modification.

Divide the i th sample X_{i1}, \dots, X_{im_i} into J_i sub-samples. Let m_{ij} denote the size and s_{ij}^2 , the sample variance of the j th sub-sample of the i th sample. Denote $Y_{ij} = \log s_{ij}^2$ and assume that γ_2 , the kurtosis measure has the same value for all populations. Then from Scheffé (cited above), the test for the hypothesis of the equality of variances is based on the statistic

$$(3.1) \quad f = \frac{\frac{1}{c-1} \sum_i v_i^* (\hat{\eta}_i - \hat{\eta})^2}{\frac{1}{v_e} \sum_i \sum_j v_{ij} (Y_{ij} - \hat{\eta}_i)^2}$$

where $v_{ij} = m_{ij} - 1$, $v_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} v_{ij}$, $\hat{\eta}_i = \sum_j v_{ij}^* Y_{ij} / v_i^*$,

$\hat{\eta} = \sum_i v_i^* \hat{\eta}_i / v^*$, $v^* = \sum_i v_i^*$ and $v_e = \sum_i (J_i - 1)$. The

denominator of f converges to $2 + \gamma_2$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (Note that we are assuming $m_{ij} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Hence an asymptotically equivalent statistic is

$$(3.2) \quad (c-1)f = \sum_i v_i^* (\hat{\eta}_i - \hat{\eta})^2 / (2 + \gamma_2)$$

which has a limiting non-central chi-square distribution with a non-centrality parameter (after omitting computations)

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{F}} = 4 \sum_{i=1}^c s_i (\nu_i - \bar{\nu})^2 / (2 + \gamma_2) \quad .$$

4. Asymptotic Relative Efficiency.

It is well-known ([7]) that in the situations we are considering, the asymptotic efficiency of one statistic relative to another is equal to the ratio of their non-centrality parameters. Hence, we have the efficiencies of the normal scores $\mathcal{L}(\Phi)$ statistic relative to $\mathcal{L}(B)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$ and $\mathcal{L}(F)$ statistics as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad e_{\mathcal{L}(\Phi), \mathcal{L}(B)} = \lambda_{\Phi} / \lambda_B$$

$$(4.2) \quad e_{\mathcal{L}(\Phi), \mathcal{L}(M)} = \lambda_{\Phi} / \lambda_M$$

$$(4.3) \quad e_{\mathcal{L}(\Phi), \mathcal{F}} = \lambda_{\Phi} / \lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \quad .$$

It may be remarked that the above results agree with the results obtained by Ansari and Bradley [1], and Klotz [4] for the two-sample case, and hence the results of this paper as well as of these authors apply directly to the c-sample problem. We would, in particular, draw the attention of the reader to Section 3 of [4] and Section 7 of [1] where

the efficiency comparisons for different densities of the $\mathcal{L}(\Phi)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$, $\mathcal{L}(B)$ and J tests are made for the two-sample problem and which are shown here to be valid also for the c-sample problem.

REFERENCES

1. ANSARI, A. R. and BRADLEY, R. A. (1960). Rank-sum tests for dispersions. Ann. Math. Statist. 31 1174-1189.
2. BARTON, D. E. and DAVID, F. N. (1958). A test for birth-order effects. Ann. Eugenics. 22 250-257.
3. CAPON, Jack (1961). Asymptotic efficiency of certain locally most powerful rank tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 88-100 Vol. 32.
4. KLOTZ, J. H. (1962). Non-parametric tests for scale. Ann. Math. Statist. 33 498-508.
5. LEHMANN, E. L. (1951). Consistency and unbiasedness of certain non-parametric tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 22 165-179.
6. MOOD, A. M. (1954). On the asymptotic efficiency of certain non-parametric two-sample tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 25 514-522.
7. PURI, Madan L. (1964). Asymptotic Efficiency of a Class of c-Sample Tests. Ann. Math. Statist. 35 102-121.
8. PURI, Madan L. (1964). Some Distribution-Free k-Sample Rank Tests of Homogeneity Against Ordered Alternatives. Ann. Math. Statist. (abstract) 35.

9. SCHEFFE, Henry S. (1959). The Analysis of Variance.
John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
10. SIEGEL, SIDNEY and TUKEY, John W. (1960). A non-parametric sum of ranks procedure for related spread in unpaired samples. J. Amer. Stat. Assn. 55 429-455.
11. SUKHATME, B. V. (1957). On certain two-sample non-parametric tests for variances. Ann. Math. Statist. 28 188-194.
12. SUKHATME, B. V. (1958). A two-sample distribution-free test for variances. Biometrika. 45 544-548.

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) New York University Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 25 Waverly Pl., N.Y. 3, N.Y.	2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Non-classified
	2b GROUP

3 REPORT TITLE

On Some Tests of Homogeneity of Variances

4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Technical Report March 1965

5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)

Puri, Madan L.

6. REPORT DATE March 1965	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 16 pages	7b. NO OF REFS 12
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. Nonr-285(38)	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) IMM-NYU 338	
b. PROJECT NO. NR 042-206/11/18/64	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) NONE	
c.		
d.		

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES

Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report
from DDC.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY U. S. Navy Office of Naval Research 207 W. 24th Street, N.Y., N.Y.
---------------------------------	--

13 ABSTRACT

For testing the equality of c continuous probability distributions on the basis of c independent random samples, various non-parametric tests for dispersions are offered. These tests, include among others, the multi-sample analogues of the two-sample normal-scores test of dispersion and the tests considered by Ansari and Bradley (Ann. Math. Statist. 1960), Klotz (Ann. Math. Statist. 1962), Mood (Ann. Math. Statist. 1954), and Siegel and Tukey (J. Amer. Stat. Assn. (1960)). Under suitable regularity conditions, it is shown that these tests have limiting non-central chi-square distributions with $c-1$ d.f. The asymptotic relative efficiencies (in the Pitman sense) of these tests relative to one another and the F test (Scheffe: The Analysis of Variance, pp. 83-87) are obtained and are shown to be the same as for the two-sample problem [cf. Ansari-Bradley and Klotz cited above].

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Head, Logistics and Mathematical Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington, D.C. 20360	3 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office Navy #100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2 copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	20 copies
Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia Attn: William B. Whichard	1 copy
Technical Information Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390	6 copies
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 207 West 24th Street New York, New York 10011 Attn: J. Laderman	1 copy
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena 1, California Attn: Dr. A.R. Laufer	1 copy
Bureau of Supplies and Accounts Code OW Department of the Navy Washington 25, D.C.	1 copy
Institute for Defense Analyses Communications Research Division von Neumann Hall Princeton, New Jersey	1 copy
University of Chicago Statistical Research Center Chicago, Illinois Attn: Prof. Paul Meier	1 copy

Stanford University
Applied Mathematics & Statistics Lab.
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. Gerald J. Lieberman

Florida State University
Department of Statistics
Tallahassee, Florida
Attn: Prof. I.R., Savage

Florida State University
Department of Statistics
Tallahassee, Florida
Attn: Dr. Ralph A. Bradley

Princeton University
Department of Mathematics
Princeton, New Jersey

Columbia University
Department of Mathematical Statistics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. T.W. Anderson

University of California
Department of Statistics
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. J. Neyman

University of Washington
Department of Mathematics
Seattle 5, Washington
Attn: Prof. Z.W. Birnbaum

Cornell University
Department of Mathematics
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. J. Wolfowitz

Harvard University
Department of Statistics
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Prof. W.G. Cochran

The Research Triangle Institute
Statistics Research Division
505 West Chapel Hill Street
Durham, North Carolina
Attn: Dr. Malcolm R. Leadbetter

Columbia University
Dept. of Industrial Engineering
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. Cyrus Derman

Columbia University
Department of Mathematics
New York 27, New York
Attn: Prof. H. Robbins

New York University
Courant Inst. of Mathematical Science
New York 3, New York
Attn: Prof. W.M. Hirsch

Cornell University
Department of Plant Breeding
Biometrics Unit
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Walter T. Federer

University of North Carolina
Statistics Department
Chapel Hill, North Carolina
Attn: Prof. Walter L. Smith

Michigan State University
Department of Statistics
East Lansing, Michigan
Attn: Prof. Herman Rubin

Brown University
Division of Applied Mathematics
Providence 12, Rhode Island
Attn: Prof. M. Rosenblatt

New York University
Department of Industrial Engineering
and Operations Research
Bronx 63, New York
Attn: Prof. J. H. Kao

University of Wisconsin
Department of Statistics
Madison, Wisconsin
Attn: Prof. G.E.P. Box

Docketdyne - A Division of North
American Aviation, Inc.
6633 Canoga Avenue
Canoga Park, California
Attn: Dr. Roy Goodman
Attn: Dr. Robert Zimmerman

Prof. Anders Hald
Institute of Mathematical Statistics
University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark

Yale University
Department of Mathematics
New Haven, Connecticut
Attn: Prof. L.J. Savage

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. R.A. Howard

The Johns Hopkins University
Department of Mathematical Statistics
34th & Charles Streets
Attn: Prof. Geoffrey S. Watson

Stanford University
Department of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. E. Parson

Arcon Corporation
803 Massachusetts Avenue
Lexington 73, Massachusetts
Attn: Dr. Arthur Albert

University of California
Institute of Engineering Research
Berkeley 4, California
Attn: Prof. R.E. Barlow

Michigan State Unviersity
Department of Statistics
East Lansing, Michigan
Attn: Prof. J. Gani

Prof. Harold E. Dodge
Rutgers - The State University
Statistics Center
New Brunswick, New Jersey

Yale University
Department of Statistics
New Haven, Connecticut
Attn: Prof. F.J. Anscombe

Purdue University
Division of Mathematical Sciences
Lafayette, Indiana
Attn: Prof. S.S. Gupta

Cornell University
Department of Industrial Engineering
Ithaca, New York
Attn: Prof. Robert Bechhofer

Stanford University
Department of Statistics
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. C. Stein

Applied Mathematics and Statistics La
Department of Statittics
Stanford University
Stanford, California
Attn: Prof. H. Solomon

Decision Studies Group
460 California Avenue
Palo Alto, California
Attn: Warren R. Ketler

APR 5 1965

DATE DUE

GAYLORD

PRINTED IN U.S.A.

NYU
IMM-
338 Pur 1

On some tests of homogeneity of variances

NYU
IMM-
338 Puri

AUTHOR

On some tests of homogeneity of variances

DATA ^{WIR}

DUE BORRADO

...and a name

C. 1

N.Y.U. Courant Institute of
Mathematical Sciences
251 Mercer St.

New York 12, N. Y.

